Control of *Drosophila* wing and haltere development by the nuclear *vestigial* gene product
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The Dipteran flight appendages, the wings and halteres, develop from larval imaginal discs that also produce other sections of the second and third thoracic adult body segments. Loss of *vestigial (vg)* function in *Drosophila* selectively eliminates wing and haltere formation. Here, we show that vg expression is spatially restricted to the presumptive wing and haltere regions of these imaginal discs. An intronic regulatory element mediates this restriction and may elaborate upon cues that activate vg expression in the embryonic disc primordia. The nuclear vg protein lacks any recognized nucleic acid-binding motif but is comprised of two putative functional domains, one of which bears similarity to part of the *Deformed* homeotic protein and may mediate protein–protein interactions. These results suggest that vg is directly involved in determining which thoracic imaginal disc cells will form wings and halteres, perhaps by interacting with other nuclear regulatory proteins.
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Embryonic pattern formation in *Drosophila* has been the subject of extensive study, which has led to the identification of many key genes involved in establishing the larval body plan (for review, see Akam 1987; Ingham 1988; Scott and Carroll 1989). However, relatively little is known about the genes and processes that regulate the development of the adult fly. Cephalic and thoracic adult *Drosophila* structures are formed from segmentally restricted infoldings of the larval epidermis, called imaginal discs. Fate map analysis has shown that these cells are set aside from presumptive larval cells shortly after the blastoderm stage of embryonic development (Wieschaus and Gehring 1976a,b). Clonal analysis indicates that these cells proliferate until mid-embryogenesis (~12 hr of development; Madhaven and Schneiderman 1977), and by the end of embryogenesis they are visible as pouches of cells (20–70 cells each) associated with or invaginated from the larval epidermis (Madhaven and Schneiderman 1977). Proliferation resumes during the first larval instar (Madhaven and Schneiderman 1977), and by late larval development each disc contains several thousand cells (53,000 cells in the wing disc; Whittle 1990). During metamorphosis, these pouches evaginate to form the adult cuticle (i.e., the epidermal structures of the head and thorax, and all adult appendages).

The two sets of Dipteran flight appendages, the wings and halteres, develop from the wing and haltere imaginal discs, respectively. However, these discs are also responsible for the formation of a variety of other adult cuticular structures. The wing disc forms virtually all of the cuticular structures of the adult thoracic cuticle (i.e., the notum), whereas the haltere disc forms parts of the thoracic postnotum cuticle. The intricate pattern of bristles on the adult thoracic cuticle and analysis of mutants that alter this pattern imply that complex positional information exists in these discs. This is reflected by the fact that a variety of enhancer trap lines show complex spatially restricted patterns of expression in imaginal discs (for review, see Whittle 1990, Wilkins and Gubb 1991). Mosaic analysis has shown that much of this positional information is established during larval development (Garcia-Bellido 1975) and relies largely on cell–cell interactions (Bryant et al. 1981; Whittle 1990, Wilkins and Gubb 1991).

The analysis of genes required for specific aspects of pattern formation within these discs should allow a dissection of the mechanisms by which pattern is established in these discs. The *vestigial (vg)* gene is a good candidate gene for this type of analysis. The vg product is required in a variety of tissues during morphogenesis and is also required during late larval development (the third larval instar) primarily for formation of specific regions of the wing and haltere imaginal discs (Stanley 1931; Fristrom 1969; Bownes and Roberts 1981). vg mutants undergo extensive cell death in the presumptive wing.
region of third-larval instar imaginal wing discs (Fristrom 1969, Bownes and Roberts 1981), which results in the observed complete elimination of wing structures in homozygous vg adults. Cell death is a consequence of a cell-autonomous inability of vg discs to differentiate the presumptive wing region of the larval wing disc [Bownes and Roberts 1981]. Presumably, a similar defect occurs in the haltere disc, because haltere derivatives of this disc are specifically deleted in vg mutants. Thus, analysis of vg function and regulation may provide clues to help understand aspects of both wing and haltere development as well as pattern formation in imaginal discs.

Here, we report a detailed study of the vg locus, the presentation of which is organized into two parts. The first describes the regulation and expression of the vg gene, and the second part investigates the structure and function of the vg product. vg is expressed in the embryonic wing and haltere imaginal disc precursors, from the extended germ-band stage through hatching. In late larvae, vg is expressed in a spatially restricted pattern identifying the subregions of these discs that will form wings and haltieres [and require vg function]. An intrinsic regulatory element required for this larval wing region expression is characterized and shown to be interspecifically conserved. We demonstrate that the vg protein is localized to the nuclei of cells fated to form wing and haltere derivatives. We have determined the primary sequence of the vg protein, which appears to be modular in structure, containing at least two putative functional domains. One of these domains contains two paired repeats and homology to a region of the Deformed homoeotic protein. This domain may mediate protein–protein interactions with other nuclear regulatory proteins involved in wing and haltere specification.

Results

The phenotype of an adult null vg allele is shown in Figure 1b. Wing and haltere structures are eliminated completely, owing to extensive cell death in these regions of the larval imaginal discs. The fly also shows a number of other defects [e.g., erect postscutellar bristles and reduced size], owing to a requirement for vg function during morphogenesis [Williams and Bell 1988]. The regulatory mutant vg83bez exhibits the same loss of wing and haltere functions [Fig. 1c] but none of these other pleiotropic effects [see below].

The vg locus has been cloned [Williams and Bell 1988], and a single 3.8-kb vg transcript has been identified. The isolation of several vg cDNAs and the generation of a crude exon map based on restriction endonuclease and Southern blot hybridization analyses have been reported [Williams et al. 1990a], and these data are summarized in Figure 1d.

**The vg transcript is expressed in the embryonic and larval wing and haltere imaginal discs**

We have determined the expression pattern of vg mRNA in wholemount embryos and imaginal discs utilizing digoxigenin-labeled cDNA probes [see Materials and methods]. Extensive proteinase K treatment was required to detect embryonic vg transcripts, as a result of their very low abundance [Williams et al. 1990a]. vg expression is first detected in germ-band-extended embryos [stage 10] in lateral stripes from the first thoracic [T1] to the seventh abdominal [A7] segments [Fig. 2a]. By late germ-band extension [stage 11], three additional ventrolateral clusters of cells in T1–T3 also express vg [Fig. 2b]. By following the fate of the T2 and T3 clusters through the remainder of embryonic development, we conclude that most or all of these cells correspond to the embryonic primordia of the wing and haltere discs [see below]. The T1 cluster is seen only during this stage and later germ-band retraction stages and is not detected reproducibly at later stages. This cluster may correspond to the dorsal prothoracic disc primordia.

During germ-band retraction [stage 12], segmentally repeated expression is also detected in discrete cells in the ventral nerve cord [Fig. 2c]. By the beginning of dorsal closure [stage 13], additional head region and ventral nerve cord cells express vg, and the ventrolateral clusters (wing and haltere disc primordia) begin to migrate dorsally [data not shown]. During the early stages of head involution [stage 14], the pattern of expression is quite complex [Fig. 2d]. The ventrolateral clusters are clearly visible as discrete pockets of cells, located laterally in T2 and T3 [Fig. 2d]. These clusters correspond in location to the wing and haltere primordia, which have been detected at this stage using either fascicillin III antibody staining [Bate and Martinez-Arias 1991] or whole-mount in situ hybridization with cdNA clones from the Abnormal leg pattern gene [Cohen et al. 1991]. Groups of ventrolateral cells expressing vg mRNA flank the vg-positive ventral nerve cord cells [Fig. 2d]. The lateral stripes from earlier stages have migrated dorsally, and clusters of cells in the head and abdominal regions express vg [data not shown]. These structures may represent progenitors of sense organs of the peripheral nervous system. If vg protein is required in these cells, its function may be subtle or redundant, because no embryonic vg function has been reported. However, because the majority of embryonic vg-expressing cells may correspond to nervous system structures, loss of vg function could result in minor sensory defects. By the end of dorsal closure [stage 16] the complex vg pattern of expression begins to degenerate, and only the imaginal disc and ventral nerve cord expression persists until the end of embryogenesis [Fig. 2e]. The wing and haltere discs can be seen clearly as lateral in-pockets of cells in stage 17 embryos [Fig. 2e,f]. These correspond in size and location with the wing and haltere discs identified morphologically by Madhavan and Schneiderman [1977]. It is of interest to note that the locations of the wing and haltere disc primordia at germ-band extension are very close to the respective locations of the meso- and metathoracic leg disc primordia [Cohen 1990]. This is consistent with mosaic analysis, which has demonstrated that these pairs of primordia are closely positioned in the embryo [Wieschaus and Gehring 1976a].
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Figure 1. Function and organization of the vg locus. (a) An adult wild-type fly; (b) a homozygous null vg fly; (c) a homozygous vg83b27 fly. Note that the vg83b27 and vg homozygotes lack wings and halteres. (d) A partial restriction map of the vg locus, with ClaI (C), PstI (P), HindIII (H), HincII (N), SalI (S), SstI (T), BglII (G), EcoRI (R), and Smal (M). An exon map of the vg transcription unit is also presented (bottom) (introns numbered), with relevant restriction sites indicated. The shaded region of the cDNA corresponds to the coding region. The deletion associated with the vg83b27 allele is denoted by a solid bar immediately above the map. Bars at the top denote regions of strong (solid) or weak (hatched) interspecific conservation of vg gene fragments between D. melanogaster and D. virilis, respectively.

In third-instar imaginal discs, vg expression is also limited to the wing and haltere discs and is expressed throughout these discs at very low levels (data not shown). However, vg is expressed at elevated levels in a broad stripe across these discs (Fig. 3a,b). In the wing disc, the zone of maximal expression includes the primordia for the wing pouch and hinge regions, which are deleted or altered in vg mutants [Bownes and Roberts 1981; James and Bryant 1981; Williams et al. 1990a]. Expression in the haltere disc mimics that of the wing disc (Fig. 3b) and includes the primordia for the haltere structures, which are removed in vg mutants. Given that temperature-shift studies indicate a requirement for vg function during the third-larval instar [Stanley 1931] and the direct observation that loss-of-function vg mutants undergo cell death in this region of the disc during third-
instar development (Fristrom 1969; Bownes and Roberts 1981; James and Bryant 1981), we conclude that the detected high-level expression is essential for wing and haltere formation.

The \( \text{vg}^{83b27} \) allele deletes an intronic regulatory element required for gene expression in the wing and haltere imaginal discs

Flies homozygous for the unusual recessive \( \text{vg}^{83b27} \) allele show extreme wing and haltere reductions but are wild type for all other \( \text{vg} \) phenotypes (see Fig. 1c). Molecular analysis reveals that \( \text{vg}^{83b27} \) deletes most of intron 2 (see Fig. 1d), indicating that sequences within intron 2 are somehow essential for wing and haltere development. It is unlikely that an alternatively spliced exon exists within this intron, as no candidate cDNAs have been identified in extensive screens of imaginal disc cDNA libraries (J. Williams, unpubl.). Because \( \text{vg}^{83b27} \) homozygotes exhibit only the wing and haltere phenotypes, it is more likely that the \( \text{vg}^{83b27} \) deletion has removed a con-
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Figure 3. vg expression pattern in wild-type and vg83b27 wing and haltere imaginal discs. Digoxygenin in situ hybridization (see Materials and methods) was performed with either wild-type (ab) or vg83b27 imaginal disc complexes (c,d). The vg expression pattern in a wild-type wing disc and a wild-type haltere disc are shown in a and b, respectively. The vg expression pattern in two vg83b27 wing discs is shown in c and d. These discs are unusually narrow as a result of deletion of the entire wing pouch region of the disc (during the third-larval instar) in strong vg alleles (Bownes and Roberts 1981). Occasional discs exhibit a small part of the normal vg expression pattern (arrow in d), probably accounting for the observation that some vg8abaz flies have partial wing structures formed (Williams et al. 1990a). All discs are shown at the same level of magnification.

trol element that is required specifically for vg expression in these imaginal discs. To test this idea, the vg expression pattern in vg83b27 embryos and discs was determined (see Materials and methods). No alteration of vg expression was detected in vg83b27 embryos (data not shown). Thus, vg83b27 has not deleted sequences required for initial establishment of vg expression in the wing and haltere primordia (or for vg expression in the developing nervous system, etc.). In third-instar imaginal discs, faint expression is seen throughout the wing and haltere discs (data not shown). However, the elevated expression pattern detected in wild-type discs is absent in all vg83b27 discs examined (Fig. 3c). Thus, vg83b27 has deleted a control element required for region-specific amplification of vg expression in the wing and haltere discs during larval development. The loss of this high-level expression correlates with the loss of the wing pouch and part of the presumptive hinge region of the wing disc in vg83b27 [Fig. 3c], consistent with the prediction that this elevated expression is essential for wing development (see above). The fact that occasional discs exhibit a small part of the normal vg expression pattern [Fig. 3d] implies both that some additional regulatory sequences exist that have not been deleted and that the control element is complex.

The intronic regulatory element can mediate both cis- and trans-activation of the vg promoter

The vg83b27 allele complements recessive viable vg alleles genetically and partially complements some recessive lethal alleles (Alexandrov and Alexandrova 1987; Williams et al. 1990a). Because vg83b27 has deleted vg control sequences, it appears likely that the complementation is the result of alleles in trans to vg83b27 that retain a functional disc enhancer transmitting this information to the vg83b27 promoter via chromosome pairing (transvection; Lewis 1954). This would account for the ability of vg83b27 to complement all tested recessive viable vg alleles (Williams et al. 1990a), as these alleles are cytologically normal and do not alter the intronic region deleted in vg83b27 (Williams et al. 1990a). Several recessive null vg alleles have also been identified, on the basis of the phenotypes of these alleles when in trans to each
other or in trans to Df(2R)vg\(^d\) (vg\(^d\)), a cytologically visible deficiency of the vg locus [Williams and Bell 1988; Williams et al. 1990a,b]. To test the prediction that chromosome pairing is required for complementation, the wing phenotype of flies containing these alleles in trans to vg\(^{53b27}\) was determined [for the origins and locations of the lesions associated with these alleles, see Materials and methods]. Partial complementation is exhibited [i.e., wing and haltere phenotypes are significantly less extreme than either parent] when vg\(^{83627}\) is heterozygous with vg\(^2\) and vg\(^{12}\), consistent with the fact that these are cytologically normal alleles that do not alter intron 2. Interestingly, no complementation is seen with vg\(^1\) or with the vg\(^{W2-4}\) alleles, all of which are associated with inversion breakpoints in either intron 1 or 2. These results argue that chromosome pairing is required for vg\(^{83b27}\) complementation, as these inversion breaks should disrupt chromosome pairing in this region.

Interspecific comparison of Drosophila melanogaster and Drosophila virilis vg gene sequences identifies a potential conserved intrinsic control region

The Drosophila melanogaster and Drosophila virilis lines diverged ~60 million years ago [Beverly and Wilson 1984]. Previous comparisons of genes in these two species revealed that essential regions [i.e., conserved coding regions] have diverged ~10%, whereas nonfunctional sequences [i.e., some intronic regions] have diverged completely [Blackman and Meselson 1986; Kassis et al. 1986]. Thus, functional regions within genes can be identified, on the basis of the degree of DNA sequence conservation between the cognate genes in the two species.

We have cloned the D. virilis vg locus and used Southern hybridization analyses to identify conserved regions within the vg loci [see Materials and methods]. The locations of the strongest hybridizing restriction fragments detected in this analysis are shown in Figure 1d. As expected, several of these regions correspond to coding exons of the vg transcription unit [see below]. The primarily noncoding exons 1 and 2 and the 3′-untranslated region are not as heavily conserved. However, the high AT content of these regions [see below] may obscure the detection of conserved sequences, owing to the low melting point of AT-rich hybrids. In addition, four nonexonic conserved restriction fragments were also identified [see Fig. 1]. One strongly conserved region was detected in intron 2 and is the only conserved region detected within the region deleted in vg\(^{83b27}\) [Fig. 1]. The conserved D. melanogaster intron 2 restriction fragment was used to probe Southern blots of DNA fragments of the D. virilis locus; this localized the homologous region in D. virilis to a small restriction fragment in approximately the same location as in the D. melanogaster gene [data not shown]. Both regions were sequenced, and alignment revealed that a region of remarkable conservation (134 to 136 bp aligned) is present, which includes a 118-bp region of perfect conservation [J. Williams, unpubl.]. This region is incapable of forming strong secondary structures and does not show significant homology [in either orientation] to any reported sequence [GenBank release 67 and EMBL release 26]. To the best of our knowledge, this is the longest perfectly conserved region yet detected between D. melanogaster and D. virilis. Because it is likely that essential DNA would be evolutionarily conserved, this region is an excellent candidate for the location of an essential region of the intronic control element defined by the vg\(^{83b27}\) deletion.

vg encodes a putative modular protein, with homology to the Deformed homeotic protein

We have demonstrated that the vg transcript is expressed in a complex spatially restricted pattern in the embryo and that imaginal disc expression is restricted to subregions of the wing and haltere disc shown to require vg function. To further elucidate the potential function of the vg gene product, we have identified the predicted vg-coding sequence from cloned cDNAs. The nucleotide sequence of the longest cDNA [cDNA1], as well as corresponding genomic intervals, was determined [see Materials and methods] and is shown in Figure 4. The size of the transcript is 3.5 kb [without a poly(A) tail], in good agreement with size estimates from Northern analysis [3.7–3.8 kb]. However, primer extension analysis will be required to precisely map the transcription start sites. A conceptual translation of the longest vg open reading frame [ORF] is shown above the sequence. The vg protein is predicted to be 453 amino acids long, with a molecular mass of 46 kD. Hydrophobicity analysis [not shown] indicates that no obvious signal peptide or strongly hydrophobic regions characteristic of transmembrane domains is present in the vg product. This implies a cell-integral role for the protein, consistent with immunolocalization studies [see below] and genetic evidence indicating that the vg product is cell autonomous [Bownes and Roberts 1981]. The putative protein is rich in serine [15%], alanine [13.5%], glycine [13%], and histidine [9%] residues. The alanine and glycine residues are distributed nonrandomly, with an alanine-rich interval in exon 3 and glycine-rich regions in exons 3 and 4 [see Fig. 4]. The glycine-rich regions are encoded by GGX nucleotide repeats. This nucleotide repeat [pen repeat] has been identified in a number of Drosophila transcripts [Wilde and Akam 1987] and often codes for polyglycine in proteins.

A weak vg allele (vg\(^{79d5}\)) is associated with a small deletion that removes the 5′ end of exon 3 [removing the polyalanine region of the exon], thus producing an internally deleted transcript [Williams et al. 1990a]. Clearly, the 5′ end of exon 3 [including the polyalanine repeat] is not essential for vg function [as vg\(^{79d5}\) is a weak vg allele that is associated with only mild nicking of the wing margin]. The protein regions flanking the exon 4 glycine repeat are probably functional, because strong protein sequence conservation between D. melanogaster and D. virilis is seen in the intervals flanking the exon 4 glycine-rich interval [Fig. 5a]. However, the glycine-rich region shows poor interspecific sequence conservation [Fig. 5a]. These results are consistent with the idea that
Figure 4. Sequence of the vg transcription unit. The sequence of the vg transcription unit and flanking genomic DNA is shown. The sequence begins at the EcoRI site at −0.4 kb (see Fig. 1) at bp 347 shows the 5′ extent of cDNA1. An additional 177 bp is present 5′ to this base in the CDNA. However, this DNA is derived from exon 2, probably as a consequence of aberrant cDNA synthesis. The numbered arrowheads below the sequence identify the intron junctions within the cDNA sequence; the numbered arrowheads at the bottom show the 3′ ends of cDNAs 1 (Williams et al. 1990a), 2, and 4. Four nongenomic A residues are present in cDNA2, demonstrating utilization of one of the upstream polyadenylation sites (AATAAA, in brackets) in this cDNA. A conceptual translation of the ORF is indicated above the sequence, with the alanine- or glycine-rich intervals underlined, as well as two potential N-linked glycosylation sites (NXS/T). The indicated ATG is probably utilized as the start codon, as this is the first ATG codon in the ORF and the sequence precedes by an extremely long (at least 1453 bp) leader sequence containing 11 potential start codons (ATG; underlined), all of which have limited coding capacity (<44 amino acids in potential translatable length). The sequence shown is genomic DNA (Oregon-R). Of six detected coding-region polymorphisms, two result in amino acid substitutions (circled): threonine to proline (23); and proline to threonine (58). Labeled arrows correspond to the amino-terminal start sites of the vg-1 and vg-2 fusion proteins. Both clones extend to the BglII site 3′ to the stop codon.
the vg homopolymeric repeats are not essential functional domains in the protein. It has been speculated that homopolymer repeats represent spacer intervals between functional domains in some proteins [Burts et al. 1990]. These observations suggest that the vg protein may be modular in structure, with a minimum of two functional domains linked by the exon 4 glycine-rich interval.

Data base searches revealed no significant homologies to the putative carboxy-terminal protein domain. However, two regions containing alternating histidine residues are present in the amino-terminal domain [see Fig. 4] and are similar to the previously characterized paired or His-Pro repeat [Frigerio et al. 1986]. Although the function of this repeat is unknown, it has been identified in a number of Drosophila developmental genes, which include the paired, bicoid [Frigerio et al. 1986], daugh-

The vg product is localized to cell nuclei and demarcates the wing- and haltere-forming subregions of these imaginal discs

The vg product is required cell autonomously in the wing and haltere discs during the third-larval instar for formation of wing and haltere structures [Bowen and Roberts 1981]. The regions of elevated vg expression in these discs include the structures deleted or altered in vg mutants. Thus, it is likely that the vg protein is required in these regions and is present at relatively high levels. A vg-specific antibody was generated [see Materials and methods] to test this possibility, as well as to determine the subcellular localization of the vg protein. The antibody-staining pattern corresponds to the mRNA expression pattern in embryos [data not shown] and in larval third-instar wing and haltere discs [Fig. 6a,b,d], high magnification [and double immunofluorescence labeling; see Materials and methods] shows that the vg protein is localized primarily in the nucleus [Fig. 6a]. Comparison of the regions of vg protein expression with fate
maps of the wing and haltere discs (Bryant 1975) demonstrates that high-level vg expression marks most of the cells destined to form wing and hinge structures (and haltere structures in the haltere disc), but no (or low level) labeling is seen in the subregions of these discs that form notum or other thoracic cuticular structures [Fig. 6a]. vg expression continues to mark wing structures, during the early and late stages of pupal wing eversion (Fig. 6, c and e, respectively), as well as evertting haltere structures [data not shown]. Thus, vg expression demarcates the presumptive wing- and haltere-forming regions of these imaginal discs, during both the determination and morphogenesis of these structures.

Discussion

The nuclear-localized vg protein marks imaginal disc cells fated to form Drosophila flight appendages

The vg gene is required to specify the identity of the presumptive wing region of the wing imaginal disc, and the corresponding region of the haltere disc. We have demonstrated that in larval imaginal discs, vg expression is limited to these discs and is expressed in the nuclei of cells that are fated to form wing and haltere structures.

Mutations in a number of Drosophila genes result in wing defects, including nicking, notching, or scalloping of the adult wing margin (Lindsley and Gréll 1968). These genes are probably downstream of regulatory genes required specifically for wing formation, and their frequency reflects a requirement for a large number of gene products during wing formation. Only a small number of genes have been identified that when mutated, specifically eliminate all wing and haltere structures (but not other cuticular structures formed by these discs). This latter group of genes includes the decapentaplegic (dpp), scollop (sd), apterous (ap), and vg genes; the genes likely encode factors that are globally required for Drosophila flight appendage formation. Of these genes, only the ap, sd, and vg genes appear to be required specifically for formation of wing and haltere structures, as they are not required for other pattern formation processes (Lindsley and Gréll 1968, James and Bryant 1981). It is possible that these few genes have evolved to provide specific functions required for flight appendage formation. The vg gene is the only gene known to be expressed in the presumptive wing and haltere primordia, and we would argue that this pattern of gene expression, the strength of the vg phenotype, and the localization and structure of the vg gene product all support a fundamental role for vg in regulating flight appendage development.

The vg protein may interact with other nuclear regulatory proteins

What is the function of the vg protein in the developing wing and haltere? Analysis of the vg transcript indicates that the vg protein may have a modular structure and contains at least two putative functional domains linked by a glycine-rich interval. While neither domain is a known nucleic acid-binding motif, one domain is commonly found in DNA-binding proteins and shows similarity to part of the Deformed homeotic protein [a known DNA-binding protein]. This domain contains two alternating histidine repeats (paired repeats) that are conserved in location and structure in both the vg and Deformed proteins. A similar repeat in the human high-molecular-weight kinogen protein has been shown to mediate protein–protein interactions with a negatively charged protein domain [see Haynes et al. 1989]. These regions may interact with acidic domains in nuclear proteins that are regulated by or modulate vg and Deformed function. It has been observed that the vg and Notch genes interact synergistically [Rabinow and Birchler 1990], raising the possibility that vg may be a tissue-specific component of a cell interaction pathway required for formation of flight structures. If so, then vg may act, not as a direct DNA-binding protein, but by interacting with one or more other regulatory proteins to specify the wing and haltere modes of development, perhaps by regulating the expression of structural genes involved in cell differentiation and morphogenesis.

vg is clearly not the sole determinant required to promote wing differentiation, as loss of vg function results in cell death, rather than a transformation of wing-to-notum structures. It is likely that vg acts in parallel with several other genes [i.e., ap, sd] to promote flight appendage development. Loss of any one of these genes may result in an intermediate determinative state, in which the cells are unable to either form wing or return to the [potential] ground state of notum. This may result in the observed cell death seen in vg (as well as ap and sd) imaginal discs. If this is the case, it is possible that vg is tightly spatially regulated because high-level expression of vg elsewhere in the wing disc will cause abnormalities due to alterations to positional values within the disc. This possibility can be tested by examining the phenotypic consequences of ectopic expression of vg, by utilizing a P-element construct that places a vg cDNA under heat shock control.

The larval imaginal disc expression pattern may require prior embryonic activation

During embryogenesis, low-level vg expression is established exclusively in the wing and haltere primordia and not in other identified disc primordia [i.e., leg or eye antennal disc primordia]. It is of interest to speculate why vg expression is established early on in these disc primordia, as the vg protein is probably not required until later larval development [from temperature-shift analysis; Stanley 1931]. It is possible that general low-level vg expression in these embryonic primordia is part of a two-step process required to set up the later high-level spatially restricted larval expression pattern. In the first step, expression is initiated in a subset of the embryonic imaginal disc primordia. In the second stage, region-specific amplification of expression occurs during larval development in these discs. This results in the final pattern
Figure 6. (See facing page for legend.)
of expression observed in third-instar discs, limiting elevated vg expression to the regions in which vg function is required. Thus, a segmentally restricted larval pattern of expression could be imprinted during embryogenesis and interpreted later during larval development. Alternatively, the intronic enhancer may be able to weakly activate vg expression (in the correct pattern) without prior embryonic expression but acts synergistically with the low-level expression established during embryogenesis to produce the observed spatially restricted elevated vg expression. However, it is possible that the embryonic expression in the wing and haltere primordia is coincidental and not necessary for subsequent expression. P-element-mediated DNA transformation analysis of the putative disc control region (in promoter fusion constructs) should help to elucidate the mechanism by which larval disc expression is activated.

How is vestigial expression initially established in the imaginal disc primordia?

The Ultrabithorax (Ubx) gene is required to specify haltere imaginal disc development, whereas Antennapedia (Antp) is required to specify wing disc development. It is not known whether initial establishment of vg expression is directly or indirectly responsive to these homeotic genes, because the relevant vg control sequences have not been identified. Clearly, other regulators must also exist (e.g., dorsal–ventral patterning genes), as expression is not observed in the mesothoracic and metathoracic leg disc primordia, which also require these homeotic genes for specificity [see Simcox et al. 1991]. Because vg serves as a molecular marker for the establishment of the wing and haltere primordia, analysis of vg expression patterns in genetic backgrounds mutant for potential regulatory genes may identify the genes that specify the identity of these primordia. Furthermore, although the location of sequences required to initially establish vg expression in the embryonic disc primordia have not been identified, genetic evidence indicates that all sequences required for vg expression reside within the 16-kb interval, including the vg transcription unit [Fig. 1, coordinates 0 to +16; Williams and Bell 1988, J. Williams, unpubl.]. P-element-mediated DNA transformation analysis of the interspecifically conserved restriction fragments that we have identified within this region may identify these embryonic control elements, thus allowing a detailed analysis of the mechanism of establishing gene expression in these disc primordia.

What regulatory genes interact with the larval enhancer?

The vg^{R3b27} allele is a deletion mutant of intron 2 that abolishes the high level of vg expression observed in wing and haltere imaginal discs but does not eliminate expression from the embryonic primordia. Genetic evidence indicates that the intronic element deleted by vg^{R3b27} can operate on the vg promoter in cis or trans. Although P-element-mediated DNA transformation experiments will be required to firmly establish the location of this control region, interspecific sequence comparisons have identified a strongly conserved interval within this intron that is a probable candidate for an essential part of this control region. The amplified pattern of vg expression in third-instar discs is a broad stripe defining the presumptive wing region of the wing disc and is excluded from presumptive notum cells. Because the notum–wing compartment restriction is established during early larval development (Garcia-Bellido 1975), vg may be under the control of developmental regulators involved in establishing or maintaining this restriction. The fact that some vg^{R3b27} discs show small parts of the expression pattern [see Fig. 3] indicates that some control sequences may map outside the intronic deletion. This implies that this control element is more complex and suggests that the control region may respond to a number of factors required in establishing this restriction.

vg expression in the wing and haltere discs is essentially a stripe of cells across the distal portion of the disc and is centered on the presumptive margin region. These patterns suggest that the upstream regulators that activate vg expression could also be expressed in similar stripes across the wing and haltere discs at the time vg is activated. Such regulators should function during early larval development (first or second instar) to set up amplified vg expression. These upstream genes should also be required for wing development (and potentially other pattern formation processes) and may include genes that have already been defined as essential for wing development.

An excellent candidate upstream activator of vg is the wingless (wg) segment polarity gene, which is a component of a signaling pathway required for embryonic and

Figure 6. Expression of the vg protein in the presumptive wing and haltere regions of late larval imaginal discs. The vg protein expression patterns in wing and haltere discs are shown in b and e, respectively. (a) A highly enlarged photograph of a wing disc. Fate map locations of adult wing disc derivatives [Bryant 1975] are indicated in a. Abbreviations: (N) notum, (D) dorsal wing blade, (V) ventral wing blade, (WM) wing margin, (H) wing hinge. The arrow denotes the ventral limit of staining, which precisely demarcates the boundary between the ventral wing blade and the adjacent region of the disc that will form ventral thoracic structures. The region of maximal staining corresponds to the wing margin (solid line), and extends symmetrically into the dorsal (D) and ventral (V) wing blade regions of the disc. It appears that most of the presumptive wing blade cells in the disc express vg, as vg labels essentially all the wing during the early [c] and late [d] eversion of this structure in the first several hours after puparium formation. The absence of staining in wing imaginal discs isolated from a homozygous protein null fly [data not shown] confirmed that the antibody staining pattern is vg specific.
imaginal disc pattern formation (Peifer et al. 1991). wg is required during the first- and second-larval instars for wing formation (Baker 1988). Absence of wg function results in wing-to-notum transformations, consistent with a role of wg in activating genes required in later larval life (i.e., vg) to specify wing versus notum development. wg mRNA is expressed in a complex pattern in imaginal discs (Baker 1988) but, in the wing disc, is expressed predominantly in a narrow stripe across this disc (and haltere disc), which defines the wing margin (Peifer et al. 1991). The wg protein is probably expressed in a broader region flanking this stripe, as wg encodes a secreted protein (van den Heuvel et al. 1989). Presumably gene activation is not mediated directly by the wg protein but, rather, by a transcription factor that is a nuclear component of the wg signaling pathway. Analysis of vg imaginal disc expression patterns in genetic backgrounds mutant for vg and other potential trans-acting regulators of vg, and molecular dissection of the cis-regulatory elements responsible for its patterned expression in imaginal discs should yield valuable information about the genes required for wing and haltere formation and the proper regulation of vg expression during larval development.

Materials and methods

D. melanogaster stocks and culturing

Stocks were grown at 24°C and maintained on a synthetic medium [Nash and Bell 1968]. The origins, phenotypes, and molecular biology of Df(2R)vg136, Df(2R)vgB, vgA11, vgA25b, vgA27, vgA2, and vgA5 are reported in Williams and Bell (1988) and Williams et al. (1990a), whereas the analysis of In(2R)vg136 and its four revertant alleles (vgW1–4) is described in Williams et al. (1990b). The vgW1 and vgA2 alleles are associated with an exonic deletion [+13 to +16] and an exonic insertion (+2), respectively (for vg region coordinates, see Fig. 1). Df[2R]vg136 has a deletion extending distally from coordinate +17, but it also has an inversion of the +5 to +17 interval. In(2R)vgA25b is a dominant gain-of-function allele associated with an inversion (+1) linking vg to the inverted gene (Williams et al. 1990b). The vgW2–4 alleles are vg null derivatives of vgW, which have no vg locus alterations (other than the inversion break). Df[2R]vgA6 is a deletion that includes the entire vg locus (Williams and Bell 1988). Oregon-R flies were used as wild type for all studies.

DNA subcloning and sequencing

Culturing and storage of bacteria or λ phage, preparation of DNA, restriction analyses, plaque lifts, and plasmid subcloning were performed according to standard methodology [Maniatis et al. 1982]. Genomic Drosophila DNA for Southern hybridizations and the genomic library was prepared according to Ish-Horovitz et al. (1979) and repurified by spermine precipitation [Hoopes and McClure 1981]. The D. virilis Sau3a library was prepared in λ vector EMBL3 as in Williams and Bell (1988). All plasmid subclones were constructed in the Bluescribe plasmid vector (Vector Cloning Systems). Exonuclease III-generated direct deletions for DNA sequencing (Henikoff 1984) were performed at 42°C, reduced-stringency hybridizations, at 37°C. The initial wash conditions for the melt-off experiments were 0.1 x SSC, 0.1% SDS, at 45°C. DNA probes were made from restriction fragments resolved on low-melt agarose gels and oligolabeled by the method of Feinberg and Vogelstein (1983). Probes for the melt-off experiments were generated by nick translation [Maniatis et al. 1982] of the relevant λ clones.

Cloning of the D. virilis vg locus

Two overlapping λ clones (V4 and V6), with homology to the D. melanogaster vg locus, were isolated from a D. virilis Sau3a genomic library (see above). Southern blots of restriction endonuclease digests of λ V4 and V6 DNA were probed with various D. melanogaster genomic or cDNA restriction fragments. This allowed the homologous regions to be aligned and indicated that these clones contain vg region DNA corresponding to at least the –1 to +18 D. melanogaster interval (see Fig. 1). A radiolabeled restriction fragment from the D. virilis locus was hybridized under conditions of high stringency to a Southern blot of EcoRI restriction-digested D. melanogaster and D. virilis genomic DNA. No hybridization was seen in the D. melanogaster lane, whereas a single band of the predicted size was detected in the D. virilis lane (data not shown). A D. virilis restriction fragment homologous to exon 4 was cloned, sequenced, and aligned with the D. melanogaster exon 4 sequence. Strong conservation of the protein product of this exon was observed (Fig. 5a). Collect-
tively, these results indicate that we have cloned the *D. viridis* vg locus and that this locus is single copy. The overall size and organization of the locus is similar in both species, whereas the colinearity of homologous regions indicates that no significant sequence rearrangement within the locus has occurred since these species diverged (data not shown).

**Identification of interspecifically conserved regions**

Southern blots of restriction endonuclease-digested *D. melanogaster* plasmid DNA subclones, spanning the entire vg locus, were hybridized and washed under reduced stringency conditions to nick-translated *D. viridis* V4 and V6 λ clones. The resultant blots were washed at increasing stringency (in increments of 5°) until all of the probe was melted from the filter. The filters were autoradiographed after each wash to monitor the removal of the probe. The blots were then rehybridized and washed sequentially as above with a nick-translated full-length *D. melanogaster* cDNA (cDNA 1 in λ GT10) and, finally, with a labeled EMBL3 λ clone containing genomic DNA spanning the entire *D. melanogaster* vg locus (λ 64 from Williams and Bell 1988). The results from the *D. melanogaster* cDNA and genomic DNA melt-offs allowed us to determine the melting point of homologous DNA hybrids, including the *D. melanogaster* exons alone or the entire restriction fragments, respectively. This will vary, as GC-rich regions show higher thermal stability than AT-rich regions. Interspecific hybridization (strong or weak) was observed with restriction fragments from throughout the *D. melanogaster* locus. Most of this hybridization signal washed off at ~10-20° lower than the equivalent intraspecific DNA hybrids. These results are indicative of an average sequence divergence of 10-20% [Bonner et al. 1973]. However, some interspecific DNA hybrids denatured at higher temperatures, indicating patches of near perfect conservation within these fragments. This indicates that most of the vg locus contains some conserved sequences, as nonessential sequences are highly diverged between these species [Blackman and Meselson 1986; Kassis et al. 1986]. However, several strongly hybridizing regions were detected, indicating that some regions of the locus are extensively interspecifically conserved (shown in Fig. 1).

**Antibody production**

Two vg cDNA3 [Williams et al. 1990a] fragments were cloned into the BamHI site of the T7 expression vector Pet3c (Studier et al. 1990). One is an in-frame fusion that expresses the carboxy-terminal 328 amino acids of the vg ORF (vg-1), whereas the second (vg-2) is a smaller fusion protein containing the carboxy-terminal 179 amino acids (see Fig. 5). Both proteins contain the first 13 amino acids encoded in the vector. The recombinant proteins were partially purified from insoluble inclusion bodies, and vg-1 protein was injected into rabbits (1 mg each) after emulsification with Freund's complete adjuvant and boosted at 2-week intervals with antigen emulsified with Freund's incomplete adjuvant. Antibodies were affinity purified by passage over either a vg-1 or a vg-2 antigen column and eluted with 4 M guanidine- HCl in PBS. After extensive dialysis against PBS, the purified antibody was passed over an *Escherichia coli* soluble protein column to remove cross-reactive and "sticky" antibodies. The flowthrough antibody was quantified by UV absorption and stored at 4°C after the addition of NaN₃ to 0.02% (wt/vol). The vg antigen and *E. coli* soluble protein column were prepared by coupling the protein to Actigel resin, according to the manufacturer's instructions (Sterogene). Antibodies purified on the vg-1 column gave much higher nonspecific background staining in embryos and imaginal discs than vg-2 affinity-purified antibodies. This is presumably due to the fact that the vg-1 protein contains several repetitive protein motifs (i.e., homopolymeric glycine runs and two paired repeats), and antibodies to epitopes in these regions may cross-react with other *Drosophila* proteins. All vg antibody staining reported here was performed with antibodies from a 6-month bleed that were affinity purified against a vg-2 column.

**Histology**

Antibody staining of imaginal discs was performed as described previously [Skeath and Carroll 1991]. Briefly, dissected disc complexes were incubated with vg antibodies (0.5-1 μg/ml), washed, and incubated with biotinylated goat anti-rabbit IgG (Vector), washed, and incubated with fluorescein-conjugated streptavidin. Double-fluorescence labeling of imaginal discs from a nuclear-localized β-galactosidase-expressing enhancer trap ubiquitously staining in imaginal discs, N. Brown, pers. comm.) with anti-vg and anti-β-galactosidase antibodies confirmed that the vg protein is nuclear localized. For this double labeling with β-galactosidase, a β-galactosidase-specific monoclonal antibody [Boehring Mannheim) was detected with rat anti-mouse IgG, followed by rhodamine-conjugated goat anti-rat IgG antibodies. Microscopy was on a Zeiss/LM35 equipped with a Bio-Rad MRC600 Lasersharp Confocal system. Digoxigenin in situ hybridizations to embryos and discs were performed by modification of the procedure of Tautz and Pfeifle (1989). The differences were that [1] the embryos were treated with protease K for 12 min; [2] after washing hybridized embryos twice for 20 min with PBS containing 0.1% Tween 20 (vol/vol), two additional washes for 20-min at room temperature with PBS containing 0.1% BSA, 2% Triton X-100, were included, and antibody incubations [1 hr] and washing were performed at room temperature in this buffer, [3] color development was allowed to proceed overnight for embryos, and [4] after stopping the color reaction, embryos were mounted for at least 1 hr in 50 mM Tris (pH 8.8) 10% glycerol, whereas discs were mounted in 50 mM Tris (pH 8.8) 70% glycerol. Probes were prepared by oligolabeling [Feinberg and Vogelstein 1983] or polymerase chain reaction (PCR) runoff (see below). Three vg cDNA fragments were used as probes. From 5' to 3', these were an EcoRI-HindIII untranslated leader containing probe (probe A), a coding region-specific fragment, corresponding to the vg-2 expression vector insert (probe B, see Fig. 5), an untranslated trailer-specific fragment from the BglII site to the 3' end of cDNA1 (probe C, see Fig. 1). All three probes recognized identical expression patterns in embryos and imaginal discs (data not shown). The same pattern was also recognized with strand-specific antisense probes generated by PCR runoff [Fleming et al. 1990] of a probe A-containing construct [data not shown]. Thus, the reported expression pattern is vg specific, as it is identified by an antisense probe and recognized by probes from the untranslated leader, coding region, and untranslated trailer. The embryonic expression patterns shown in Figure 2 were from embryos hybridized with oligolabeled probe A, whereas the imaginal disc patterns in Figure 3 were identified with PCR runoff-generated antisense probe A. Microscopy was performed with bright-field optics using a Zeiss Axiophot.
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