

1.
 - a. $H_0 : p_D = .40, p_C = .30, p_S = .30$.
 $H_1 : \text{Not } H_0$.
 - b. Reject H_0 if $X_{\text{obs}}^2 > 5.99$
 - c. $E_D = 45.2, E_C = 33.9, E_S = 33.9$
 - d. $X_{\text{obs}}^2 = 6.17$
 - e. Reject H_0 . Therefore, we found enough evidence to say that the proportions of major sports facilities in downtown, central city, and suburban areas are not the same as in 1985.
2.
 - a. H_0 : Getting headache is independent of the amount of atorvastatin used.
 H_1 : Getting headache is dependent on the amount of atorvastatin used.
 - b. Reject H_0 if $X_{\text{obs}}^2 > 11.344$
 - c. $X_{\text{obs}}^2 \approx 1.2$
 - d. No, we don't reject the null hypothesis. That is, we did not find evidence to indicate that the amount of atorvastatin used affects the occurrence of headaches.
3.
 - a. $H_0 : \mu_A = \mu_B = \mu_C = \mu_D$.
 H_A : Not all are equal.
 μ_i = mean distance traveled by brand i golf balls, $i = A, B, C, D$.
 - b. $SSG = 3071.67, SSE = 847$, and $F_{\text{obs}} = 48.35$
 - c. Reject H_0 if $F_{\text{obs}} > 4.31$
 - d. Reject H_0 . Therefore, we found enough evidence to conclude that the means of distance traveled of these 4 brands of golf balls are not the same.
 - e.
 - i. The three samples are independent.
 - ii. The samples come from populations with a common (equal) standard deviation.
 - iii. The samples come from normal populations.
4.
 - a.
 - i. $SS_{xx} = 12710.55$
 - ii. $SS_{yy} = 1761.67$
 - iii. $SS_{xy} = -3882.37$
 - b. $r = -.82$
 - c. Yes, because the correlation coefficient indicates a strong negative linear relationship between FCAT-Math score and the percentage below poverty level of the school.
 - d. $\hat{y} = 190 - .3x$
 - e. 175
 - f. About 67.24% of the variability of the FCAT-Math score (y) is explained by the percentage below poverty level of the school (x).
 - g. $SE_{\hat{b}} \approx 0.048$, and $t_{\text{obs}} \approx -6.35$
 - h. We are 99% confident that b is between -.44 and -.17.